The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Informatio	1									42-07-38 =	083-10-40 = -
Michigan [26] Wayne County [163]			Rivervi	Riverview [68880] BET.RIVERVIEW & GROSS-IL			ROSS-ILE		42.127222	83.177778	
12306 Highway agen			agency district 7	Owner Private (other the		nan railroad) [26] Maintenance responsibilit		e responsibility	Private (other that	an railroad) [26]	
Route 0 GROSSE ILE TOLL BR			. BR	Toll Toll bridge [1] Features intersected TRENTON				CHANNEL			
Design - main  Steel continuous [4]  Movable - Swing [17]		Design - approach	approach		Skew angle 0 Structure FI		constructed				
J	m = 103 otal Hor		Length of maximum rance 6.9 m = 22.		m = 179.8 ft Curb or sidewalk w	Deck wid	significance lth, out-to-ou 0 m = 0.0 ft	7.5 m = 24.6			-curb 6.5 m = 21.3 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft
31			Wood or Timbe								
			Wood or Timbe	Nood or Timber [7]							
Deck protection  Type of membran	e/wearino	g surface									
Weight Limits											
Bypass, detour length  1.1 km = 0.7 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating  Bridge posting			etermine operating	_	llowable Stress(AS llowable Stress(AS			ventory rating 0 metric ton perating rating 10.9 metric			
						Des	sign Load MS	5 18+Mod / HS 20	+Mod [6]		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 0 Average daily truck	k traffi % Year 1974 Future average daily traffic 0 Year 1977						
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure e	exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]						
Navigation vertical clearanc 3 m = 9.8 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 7.5 m = 24.6 ft						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feat	ure not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $\boxed{99.9 = \text{Unlimite}}$	ed Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost						
	Length of structure improvement Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better is [5]	n adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry	is [5]						
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation h	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erode channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection	In place but re-evaluation of c	In place but re-evaluation of design suggested [4]			38				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date February 1992 [0292] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Underwater inspection date								
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eeded [N] Other special inspection date							