The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -
Michigan [26]	Sanilac County [151	]	Custer [19460]	SEC. 1-12 CUSTER	R TWP.		0.000000	0.000000
74305H00006B010	Highway ager	cy district 4	Owner County Highwa	y Agency [02]	Maintenance	responsibility	County Highway	Agency [02]
Route 0	NICC	DL ROAD	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersec	ted BLACK RIV	ER DRAIN	
Design - Steel [3] main  Truss - Th	ru [10]	Design - approach	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1905 Skew angle 0	0 km = 0.0 mi  Year red  Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000]	
				Historical significan			for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 21.3 m	= 69.9 ft Le	ngth of maximum sp	an 20.1 m = 65.9 ft	Deck width, out-to	o-out $6.1 \text{ m} = 20.0$	ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to	-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	al Horizontal Clearance	e 6.1 m = 20.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	o m = 0	.0 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surfa	nce	Monolithic Concrete	(concurrently placed with str	ructural deck) [1]				
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/w	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	Wicthou to determ	mine inventory rating	` ' '		Inventory rating	7.2 metric ton =		
	Bridge posting	mine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating  Design Load MS	10.3 metric ton = 18 / HS 20 [5]	= 11.3 (01)5	

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 110 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 1996 Future average daily tra	affic 150 Year 2016						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contr	rol						
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadwa	ay improvement cost 0						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 26.2 m = 86.0 ft	Total project cost 1000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2000							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Suffi	iciency								
Structure status	Open, posting recommended but not legally implemented [B]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - su	ondition ratings - superstructur Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place is [5]			ace as		
Condition ratings - substructure Poor		Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck Poor		Poor [4]	deck geometry						
Scour		Bridge with "unknown'	" foundation that has not been e	valuated for scou	ur. [U]				
Channel and channel protection			Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Somewhat better than in place as is [5]	n minimum adequacy to tolerate	being left S	tatus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection		Navigation protection	Navigation protection not required [1]		ufficiency rating	20			
Culverts Not applic	cable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N	]						
Traffic safety feature	es - railings								
Traffic safety feature	es - transition	S							
Traffic safety feature	es - approach	guardrail							
Traffic safety feature	es - approach	guardrail ends							
Inspection date .	June 2000 [0	Designate	ed inspection frequency 24	Mor	nths				
Underwater inspection			Underwater inspe	ection date					
·		Unknown [N00]	own [N00] Fracture critical in						
Other special inspe	ection	Unknown [N00]	Other special insp	pection date					