

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Pennsylvania [42]	Crawford County [039]	Cambridge [10904]	CAMBRIDGE TOWNSHIP	41-46-33 = 41.775833	080-06-26 = - 80.107222
200006079013460	Highway agency district 1	Owner State Highway Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]	
Route 6		SR 6,CUSSEWAGO ST.	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected OVER FRENCH CREEK	
Design - main	Steel [3]	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint	5539.4 km = 3434.4 mi
2	Truss - Thru [10]	0	Other [00]	Year built	1934
				Year reconstructed	1983
				Skew angle	32
				Structure Flared	
				Historical significance	Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]
Total length	89.9 m = 295.0 ft	Length of maximum span	44.5 m = 146.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	12.8 m = 42.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance	9.8 m = 32.2 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left	1.4 m = 4.6 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]				
Deck protection	Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]				
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	10.9 metric ton = 12.0 tons
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	48.1 metric ton = 52.9 tons
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load	M 13.5 / H 15 [2]

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	4191	Average daily truck traffi	10	%	Year	2010	Future average daily traffic	5305	Year	2025
Road classification	Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	7.9 m = 25.9 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft					Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	4 m = 13.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by owner's forces [2]		
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost	0	Roadway improvement cost	0
	Length of structure improvement	100 m = 328.1 ft	Total project cost	1000
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2005		
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	46
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings			
Traffic safety features - transitions			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Inspection date	August 2009 [0809]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date	August 2009 [0809]
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	