HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-46-19 =	080-06-28 = -
Pennsylvania [42]	Crawford County [03	39]	Cambridge [10904]	CAMBRIDGE	TOWNSHIP		41.771944	80.107778
201002006002600 Highway agency district: 1			Owner State Highway	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Age	ency [01]
Route 0	SR 1	002,CUSSEWAGO	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersed	cted OVER FREI	NCH CREEK	
Design - Muminum, Iron [9] Truss - Thru	Wrought Iron or Cast u [10]	Design - approach O Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 18 Skew angle (Historical signi	Year red Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000] not determinable at the	nis time. [4]
Total length 79.6 m = 261.2 ft Length of maximum span 39.3 m = 128.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.3 m = 17.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.9 m = 16.1 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.9 m = 16.1 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m		2 m = 0.7 ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	1.8 m = 5.9 ft	
Deck structure type								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 1.1 km = 0.7 mi Method to determine in the Method to determine of the Method t		mine inventory rating	y rating Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating 1.8 metric ton = 3		2.0 tons	
		mine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	3.6 metric ton =	ton = 4.0 tons	
Bridge posting					Design Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 576 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 868 Year 2026								
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5 m = 16.4 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
5 . 15									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 80 m = 262.5 ft Total project cost 1000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2006								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Bridge closed to all traffic [K]		Appraisal ratings - structural							
Condition ratings - superstructure		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment		Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -							
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection				ufficiency rating	4.4				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date July 2008 [0]	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Mon	iths					
·	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspec							
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date						