HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation									46-38-30.00 =	119-43-42.00
Washington [53] Benton County [005]			Unknow	Unknown [00000] 4.9 E JCT SR 240					46.641667	= -119.728333	
0007619A0000000 Highway			agency district 5	Owner	Owner State Highway Agency [01]			Maintenance	responsibility State Highway Agency [01]		ency [01]
Route 24 SR 24				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected COLUMBIA				RIVER			
main	nain approach [6]			[6]	tressed concrete continuous Kilometerpoint 7015.2 km = 4349.4 mi Year built 1965 Year reconstructed N/A [Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for th					[0000]	
Total length 604.1 m = 1982.1 ft Length of maximum span 80.5 m = 264.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.3 m = 33.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 8.5 m = 27.9 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 8.5 m = 27.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.6 m = 2.0 ft											
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]											
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (c			crete (concurrer	e (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]							
Deck prote	ection										
Type of m	embrane/we	earing surface									
Weight Li	mits										
J.	10 0 km – 12 3 mi		to determine inventory rating to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]			nventory rating Operating rating	35.1 metric ton 59.4 metric ton		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal I			bove legal loads	l loads [5]		D	Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 3854 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 22 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 5396 Year 2030								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.8 m = 32.2 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]								
Navigation vertical clearance 3 m = 9.8 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 76.2 m = 250.0 ft									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.95 m = 16.2 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
·	Work dans by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 35763000 Roadway improvement cost 7153000								
	Length of structure improvement 619.4 m = 2032.3 ft Total project cost 71526000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to pres						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to pres	ent minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimu						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	ey Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation prote	ction not required [1]	Su	Sufficiency rating 58					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards	5. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns [npected feature meets currently acce	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	npected feature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date March 2012 [0312] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ection date	September 2012 [0912]					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	•	March 2012 [0312]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						