The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information				39-45-18 =	080-36-24 = -	
West Virginia [54] Marshall County [051]		Unknown [00000] 0.10 MI SOUTH CR 74		39.755000	80.606667	
00000000026A058 Highway agency district 6		Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		esponsibility State Highway Ag	ency [01]	
Route 7402	oute 7402 COUNTY ROUTE 74/2 Toll On free road [3] Features intersected FISH CREEK					
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru [10]	Design - approach 2 Girder	Year buil and floorbeam system [03] Skew and	1906 Year recoil	nstructed N/A [0000] red not eligible for the NRHP. [5]		
Total length 59.4 m = 194.9 ft Length of maximum span 37.8 m = 124.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.3 m = 14.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.1 m = 13.5 ft						
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4 m = 13.1 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left	0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type	Wood or Timber [8]					
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]						
Deck protection						
Type of membrane/wearing surface						
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length 2.3 km = 1.4 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	, ,	6.2 metric ton = 17.8 tons 3.4 metric ton = 25.7 tons		
Bridge posting			Design Load			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 50 Average daily tru	uck traffi 0 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 61 Year 2028						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.93 m = 12.9 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Don't and Double and Disc.							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 583000 Roadway improvement cost 553000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 69.8 m = 229.0 ft Total project cost 1136000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations deter	mined to be stable for the ass	essed or calculated scour co	ondition. [8]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in nee Banks and/or channel ha	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present mini	mum criteria [7]	Status evalua	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency ra	ating 28.5			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpecte	d feature meets currently acce	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transitions							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date June 2010 [0610] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months							
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N00]	Underwater inspe	ction date				
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical in					
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	June 201	0 [0610]			