HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Informati	tion												36-22-14.32 =	121-54-05.76
California [06]	N	Ionterey Co	unty [053	3]	Unkı	nown [000	000]	05-MON-0	01-59.3	7			36.370644	= -121.901600
44 0019		Highwa	ay agency	district: 5	Owi	ner Stat	e Highway A	Agency [01]		ı	Maintenance	e responsibility	State Highway Age	ency [01]
Route 1			STATE	ROUTE 1			Toll On fre	e road [3]		Feat	ures interse	cted BIXBY CRI	EEK	
Design - Conc main	crete [1]			Design - approach	Concrete [1]]		Kilometerp Year built	oint 1932	5937 k	km = 3680.9 Year re	mi constructed 200	0	
1 Arch	- Deck [1	[1]		10	Tee beam [0	04]		Skew angl			Structure F		-	
								Historical s	significa	nce	Bridge i	s eligible for the	NRHP. [2]	
Total length 21	17.6 m =	713.9 ft	Leng	gth of maxim	um span 10	0.6 m = 3	30.1 ft	Deck wid	th, out-t	to-out 8	8.4 m = 27.6	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-c	urb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route	e, Total H	orizontal Cl	earance	7.3 m = 24	.0 ft	Curb or	r sidewalk w	idth - left	0.2 m :	= 0.7 ft		Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft
Deck structure t	type		Co	ncrete Cast	in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing	g surface													
Deck protection	١													
Type of membra	ane/wear	ing surface												
Weight Limits														
Bypass, detour		Method to	determi	ne inventory	rating	Load Fa	ctor(LF) [1]			Invent	ory rating	37.6 metric ton	= 41.4 tons	
19.9 km = 12.3	3 mi	Method to	determi	ne operating	rating	Load Fa	ctor(LF) [1]			Opera	ting rating	62.5 metric ton	= 68.8 tons	
		Bridge po	sting E	Equal to or a	bove legal lo	ads [5]				Design	n Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 4300 Average daily to	ruck traffi 0 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic	3063 Year 2037								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	Not applicable, no waterway. [N]								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans	W. L. L.									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by									
	Bridge improvement cost Roadway impro	ovement cost								
	Length of structure improvement Tot	tal project cost								
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state Border	er bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]							
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to pres	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ed to be stable for the asse	essed or calcula	ated scour condition	n. [8]				
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]	S	status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection					g 47				
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Inspection date July 2017 [07	717] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Mon	nths					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	tion date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date						